

PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD
Effective October 1, 2003

Application or Docket Number

10 7341853

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

(Column 1) (Column 2)

TOTAL CLAIMS	48	
FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS	48 minus 20=	28
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	48 minus 3 =	7
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	

SMALL ENTITY
TYPE

OTHER THAN
OR SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEES	RATE	FEES
BASIC FEE	385.00	OR BASIC FEE	770.00
XS 9=		OR XS18=	594
X43=		OR X86=	602
+145=		OR +290=	
TOTAL		OR TOTAL	1876

* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

(Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)

AMENDMENT A	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total	39	Minus	48
Independent	9	Minus	11	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM	<input type="checkbox"/>			

SMALL ENTITY OR OTHER THAN
SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
XS 9=		XS18=	
X43=		X86=	
+145=		+290=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

17, 13, 18, 21, 25, 30, 35, 38, 42

AMENDMENT B	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total	*	Minus	**
Independent	*	Minus	***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM	<input type="checkbox"/>			

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
XS 9=		XS18=	
X43=	-	X86=	
+145=		+290=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

AMENDMENT C	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total	*	Minus	**
Independent	*	Minus	***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM	<input type="checkbox"/>			

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
XS 9=		XS18=	
X43=		X86=	
+145=		+290=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

- * If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.
- * If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."
- * If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3."
- The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

Claims 43-46 and 48 depend from and further limit claim 42 and therefore, should be found to be patentably distinguished over Dietz and Cedervall for at least the same reasons argued above in reference to claim 42.

Claims 40 and 41 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being considered to be unpatentable over Cedervall in view of Dietz.

Claims 40 and 41 have been canceled without prejudice rendering the rejections with respect to these claims moot.

Based on the foregoing, applicants respectfully submit that the applicable rejections have been overcome and that claims 1-2, 4-30, 32-35, 37, 42-46 and 48 are in condition for allowance. If the Examiner disagrees or believes that further discussion will expedite prosecution of this case, the examiner is invited to telephone applicant's representative at the number indicated below.

If there are any charges, please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0221.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 19, 2006

/Cynthia Thomas Faatz/
Cynthia Thomas Faatz
Registration No. 39,973
Intel Corporation
M/S SC4-202
2200 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, CA 95052
(530) 268-1442

1291

120